

EXECUTIVE BOARD - 19 JUNE 2018

Subject:	Appointments to Outside Bodies
Corporate Director(s)/Director(s):	Candida Brudenell, Corporate Director for Strategy and Resources
Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Graham Chapman, Portfolio Holder for Finance, Resources and Commercial Services
Report author and contact details:	Rebecca Langton, Executive Officer
Subject to call-in: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Key Decision: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Criteria for Key Decision:	
(a) <input type="checkbox"/> Expenditure <input type="checkbox"/> Income <input type="checkbox"/> Savings of £1,000,000 or more taking account of the overall impact of the decision	
and/or	
(b) Significant impact on communities living or working in two or more wards in the City <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Type of expenditure: <input type="checkbox"/> Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Capital	
Total value of the decision: There are no financial implications of this decision anticipated at this stage.	
Wards affected: All	
Date of consultation with Portfolio Holder(s): Consultation has been ongoing.	
Relevant Council Plan Key Theme:	
Strategic Regeneration and Development	<input type="checkbox"/>
Schools	<input type="checkbox"/>
Planning and Housing	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community Services	<input type="checkbox"/>
Energy, Sustainability and Customer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Jobs, Growth and Transport	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adults, Health and Community Sector	<input type="checkbox"/>
Children, Early Intervention and Early Years	<input type="checkbox"/>
Leisure and Culture	<input type="checkbox"/>
Resources and Neighbourhood Regeneration	<input type="checkbox"/>
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):	
<p>Executive Board is responsible for outside body appointments with in-year changes usually recommended by the relevant Group Whips with portfolio holder approval. This report asks the Board to review the appointments and suggests additions and deletions to the approved list of outside bodies to ensure that the Council's interests and views are represented appropriately and that the best and most useful deployment of councillors on outside bodies is achieved.</p> <p>Outside bodies to which the Council appoints are influential either nationally, regionally or locally in respect of the community as a whole or in respect of certain sectors of the community. By making appointments, the Council ensures that the needs of its customers/service users are represented.</p>	
Exempt information:	
None.	

Recommendation(s):
1 To agree the nominations to outside bodies as set out in the Register outlined in Appendix A.

1 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1.1 To ensure that appointments to outside bodies are updated to reflect changes, that the bodies to which the Council makes appointments remain relevant to its business and to ensure that procedures are in place to maintain the register proactively and accurately.

2 BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 Executive Board has responsibility for making appointments to outside bodies. In-year changes have been managed through a process of recommendation from the relevant group whips and authorisation by the Corporate Director of Resources and mid-term additions to, or deletions of bodies from, the approved list have been undertaken via the delegated decision procedure.
- 2.2 Preparations to review appointments have highlighted both that the Council's priorities in appointing to some bodies has changed and that there are additional bodies where it may be beneficial for the Council to have representation. In addition, this process has highlighted that current review arrangements need to be more regular to ensure that representation on outside bodies remains relevant and keeps pace with change locally, regionally and nationally.
- 2.3 There are some bodies where appointments are clearly essential or desirable either because of their statutory basis or because of the requirements of company articles, their status as key partner organisations, because the council subscribes to them or because they are significant within the City generally or within a local community.
- 2.4 The proposed appointments will, where appropriate, supersede appointments made to the relevant Outside Bodies by the Executive Board at its meeting on 16 June 2015.

3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 To maintain the current arrangements for making appointments to outside bodies and for adding and deleting bodies to the outside bodies register. This option was rejected on the basis that the proposed more regular overview by the Portfolio Holder and the Majority Group Whip of Executive appointments will be beneficial to the ongoing relevance and efficient management of appointments and best use of councillors' time.

4 FINANCE COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (INCLUDING IMPLICATIONS AND VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)

- 4.1 The recommendations in this report carry no significant financial implications for the Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) or for value for money. Revenue budget provisions exist within the MTFP to cover eligible costs of travel and subsistence associated with attendance at meetings and conferences. Any costs arising from attendance at meetings of outside bodies will be contained

within these provisions and be subject to eligibility criteria for reimbursement of such costs.

5 LEGAL AND PROCUREMENT COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES, AND LEGAL, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT AND PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS)

- 5.1 Councillors appointed to outside bodies are reminded that they should not accept payments from the organisations listed as Outside Bodies for performing their appointed role with them as this will disqualify them from continuing to hold office as a city councillor. This disqualification derives from section 80 of the Local Government Act 1972.

6 STRATEGIC ASSETS & PROPERTY COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (FOR DECISIONS RELATING TO ALL PROPERTY ASSETS AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE)

- 6.1 This report does not relate to property assets and associated infrastructure.

7 SOCIAL VALUE CONSIDERATIONS

- 7.1 There report does not involve the procurement of goods and services.

8 REGARD TO THE NHS CONSTITUTION

- 8.1 There are no direct public health decisions or implications arising from this report.

9 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA)

- 9.1 An EIA is not needed, as the report does not contain proposals for new or changing policies, services or functions.

10 LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS RELIED UPON IN WRITING THIS REPORT (NOT INCLUDING PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS OR CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION)

- 10.1 None.

11 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN THIS REPORT

- 11.1 Executive Board report 16 June 2015.